

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS O DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,340	02/10/2000	Robert H. Fuerhoff	MEMC 99-0900 (2632)	5009
321 7590	06/11/2003			-
	WERS LEAVITT	EXAMINER		
ONE METROPOL	•		ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	92
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 3-2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/502,340	FUERHOFF ET AL.	_
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	·		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main three months after three months after the main three months after the main three months after the months after the main three months after the months after the main three months after the main three months after the main three months after the months after the main three main thre	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d)they present additional claims without canceli	ng-a-corresponding-number of f	inally-rejected claim	S
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		$\nu$	
		RT KUNEMUND ARY EXAMINER	
		,	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examiner must further consider and/or search the newly added limitation to the pull rate and the target pull rate used in the ingot pulling..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the PID of Cope has upper and lower tolerances and the pull rate is continually optimized to fall within the target pull zone..